

# **Erratum: Dielectrophoretic manipulation of macromolecules: The electric field** **[Phys. Rev. E **64**, 026605 (2001)]**

D. S. Clague\* and E. K. Wheeler  
 (Received 10 February 2005; published 25 April 2005)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.71.049904

PACS number(s): 41.20.-q, 46.25.Cc, 89.75.Da, 99.10.Cd

In this paper, we published the electric fields and gradients in the electric field intensity produced by a parallel array of electrodes excited by a frequency dependent ac signal. Through publication of a subsequent work on quadrupolar effects and through interactions concerning a preprint that uses our paper, we have been made aware of typographical errors. Some of these errors resulted from the manual typesetting process and were not detected by the authors in the proof (please see [2]); as a consequence, and in an effort to make our work more useful, we provide below the original derived equations with the appropriate corrections.

The following equations have typographical errors:

- (1) In the abstract  $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})$  should be  $\nabla(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})$ .
- (2) In Eq. (1) the complex permittivity of the medium that is a prefactor to the Clausius-Mosotti factor should be just the real part of  $\epsilon_m^*$  ( $\epsilon_m$ ), or

$$F_{\text{DEP}} = 2\pi a^3 \epsilon_m K(\epsilon_p^*, \epsilon_m^*) \nabla(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}). \quad (1)$$

- (3) Equation (6) is missing a set of parentheses and should be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, x_3) = & -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_e \left[ \arctan\left(\frac{x_1 - b_j}{x_3 - x_{3_0}}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{x_1 - a_j}{x_3 - x_{3_0}}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left( -\psi_g(x_1) \left[ \arctan\left(\frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{x_3 - x_{3_0}}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{x_1 - b_j}{x_3 - x_{3_0}}\right) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{C_2(x_3 - x_{3_0})}{2} \left\{ \ln \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{x_3 - x_{3_0}} \right)^2 \right] - \ln \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{x_3 - x_{3_0}} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (4) In the Appendix (A1) and (A2) appear in correct form.
- (5) (A3) should be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_1} = & \frac{2(x_3 - x_{3_0})}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_e \left( \frac{x_1 - a_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_j)^2]^2} - \frac{x_1 - b_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left\{ 2(x_3 - x_{3_0}) \psi_g(x_1) \right. \\ & \times \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} - \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) + 2C_2 \left( \frac{x_3 - x_{3_0}}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2} - \frac{x_3 - x_{3_0}}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2} \right) \\ & \left. - C_2(x_3 - x_{3_0}) \left[ \left( \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) - \left( \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - b_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

- (6) (A4) should be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_3}{\partial x_3} = & \frac{2(x_3 - x_{3_0})}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_e \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} - \frac{x_1 - a_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_j)^2]^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[ 2(x_3 - x_{3_0}) \psi_g(x_1) \right. \\ & \times \left( \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} - \frac{x_1 - b_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right) - 2C_2(x_3 - x_{3_0})^3 \left( \frac{1}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) - 3C_2(x_3 - x_{3_0}) \left( \frac{1}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2} - \frac{1}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2} \right) \left. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- (7) (A5) should be

---

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Email address: clague1@llnl.gov

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_3}{\partial x_1} = & \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_e \left( \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - a_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_j)^2]^2} - \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - b_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[ \psi_g(x_1) \left( \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - b_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) + 2C_2 \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2} - \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2C_2(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} - \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(8) And finally, (A6) should be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_3} = & \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_e \left( \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - a_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_j)^2]^2} - \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - b_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[ \psi_g(x_1) \left( \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - b_j)^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 - (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) + 2C_2 \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2} - \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2C_2(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 \left( \frac{x_1 - b_j}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - b_j)^2]^2} - \frac{x_1 - a_{j+1}}{[(x_3 - x_{3_0})^2 + (x_1 - a_{j+1})^2]^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In accordance with the Swartz relationship, the cross terms,  $\partial E_3 / \partial x_1$  and  $\partial E_1 / \partial x_3$ , are equivalent.

In being made aware of the slight typographical errors, the authors confirmed that the correct forms of the above equations were indeed used in the analysis presented in this paper and in Ref. [2](please see comparison with [3]).

D. C. and E. W. would like to thank Dr. E. Liang of the University of California at Davis and Dr. S. Bhattacharjee of the University of Alberta Edmonton for (1) valuing our work and (2) for pointing out that there were typographical errors found in this paper. For the interested reader, both Dr. E. Liang [4] and Dr. S. Bhattacharjee [5] followed our approach explicitly and rederived our analytic results, i.e., (A1)–(A6).

- 
- [1] E. Liang, R. L. Smith, and D. S. Clague, Phys. Rev. E **70** 066617 (2004).  
 [2] D. S. Clague and E. K. Wheeler, LLNL Report No. UCRL-JC-142486-PT-1, 2001 (unpublished).  
 [3] X.-B. Wang, Y. Huang, F. F. Becker, and P. R. C. Gascoyne, J.

- Phys. D **27** 1571 (1994).  
 [4] E. Liang, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Davis, 2005, Chap. 3 (unpublished).  
 [5] S. H. Molla, J. H. Masliyah, and S. Bhattacharjee, (unpublished).